APPENDIX 2

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO) – SURVEY DATA SHEET AND DECISION GUIDE

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Da	nte: 4.11.06 Sur	veyor: MKNAGG	Lander Calabrida (1988) and a stabilistic of the same appropriate (1988) and a stabili		
TP	ee details O Ref: vner (if known): cation: Land betwee	Tree/Group No: G1-G3 en lancaster Boys	Species	s: (a	rch.
a) Co	1: Amenify assessment ndition & suitability for TP to Guidance Note for definiti			Dallas F	ead, lencast
5) God (3) Fair 1) Pool 0) Unsi " 0) Dead	Suitable r Unlikely to be sui afe Unsuitable	Score & No Predor trees,	tes 3 watty 6 good co-	early mature dition, hea	e to moure the + utely
	aining longevity (in years) Species Guide section in C				
5) 100+ (4) 40-10 2) 20-40 1) 10-20 0) <10	00 Very suitable Suitable	Score & Not	es (4)		
4) Large t 3) Mediu 2) Small t	rees, or medium trees clearly m trees, or larger trees with li rees, or larger trees visible or	mited view only	Suitable Just suitable Unlikely to be	Score & H.C. P.L.	Notes July 2540 highery + te papeties.
d) Other factors Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no		(N) nts (with no zero score) to qualif	Λ.		
5) Principa 4) Member 3) Trees wi 2) Trees of	I components of arboricultur s of groups of trees importan	al features, or veteran trees t for their cohesion nemorative or habitat importance cially if rare or unusual	Score & N	otes	
	nediency assessment nave accrued 9 or more point	s to qualify; refer to Guidance N	ote		
5) Known threat to tree DForeseeable threat to tree Perceived threat to tree Precautionary only Tree known to be an actionable nuisance			Score & Notes 3) local residents may apposed ares to remove trees. 3x trees on site already inappopriet purely along southern bords.		
Part 3: Decis	ion guide				•
Any 0 -6 -10	Do not apply TPO TPO indefensible Does not merit TPO	Add Scores for	Total:	Decision:	

15+

SeNe

11-14

(15+)

TPO defensible

Definitely merits TPO